

Matemáticas: Enseñanza Universitaria

(nueva serie)

Matemáticas: Enseñanza Universitaria

Universidad del Valle

reviserm@univalle.edu.co

ISSN (Versión impresa): 0120-6788

ISSN (Versión en línea): 1900-043X

COLOMBIA

2006

Danny Gómez

A MORE DIRECT PROOF OF GERSCHGORIN'S THEOREM

Matemáticas: Enseñanza Universitaria, diciembre, año/vol. XIV, número 002

Universidad del Valle

Cali, Colombia

pp. 119-22

Red de Revistas Científicas de América Latina y el Caribe, España y Portugal

Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México

<http://redalyc.uaemex.mx>



A more direct proof of Gerschgorin's theorem

Danny Gómez

Received Jun. 22, 2006 Accepted Sept. 5, 2006

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to show a different presentation of the classical proof of the first part of Gerschgorin's theorem. Besides for the second part of this theorem, there is a more straightforward and understandable proof than the one given on most of the classical books.

Keywords: Gerschgorin's theorem

AMSC(2000): Primary: 15A04

1 Introduction

The Gerschgorin circle theorem is a theorem which may be used to bound the size of the eigenvalues of a square matrix. It was first published by Belorussian mathematician Semyon Aranovich Gerschgorin in 1931.

Informally, the theorem says that if the off-diagonal entries of a square matrix over the complex numbers have small norms then its eigenvalues are similar in norm to the diagonal entries of the matrix.

This theorem is a very useful tool in numerical analysis, particularly in perturbation theory. In order to understand its importance the reader may look up the classical book [2].

The prerequisites for this note are only the basic properties of double summations, triangle inequalities and a little bit of topology of the real and complex numbers.

Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ be a square matrix of order n with complex entries and let $D^i = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - a_{ii}| \leq \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n |a_{ij}|\}$ = $B(a_{ii}, \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n |a_{ij}|)$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Theorem 1.1 (Gerschgorin).

1. Every eigenvalue of A lies in some D^i .
2. If M is the union of m disks D_i such that M is disjoint from all other disks of this type, then M contains precisely m eigenvalues of A (counting multiplicities).

In this note we show a different presentation of the classical proof of Theorem 1, cf [1], [2], ..., [8]. For the second part of the Theorem 1, we start our proof with the same setup as in [1], [3], [8], but we develop it in a clearer way.

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue of A and let $B = A - \lambda I$, where I is the identity. That is $B = (b_{ij})$, with $b_{ii} = a_{ii} - \lambda$ and $b_{ij} = a_{ij}$, for $i \neq j$. Since $\det(B) = \det(A - \lambda I) = 0$, then the rows of B are linearly dependent. We can assume that $B_{r+1} = \alpha_1 B_1 + \alpha_2 B_2 + \dots + \alpha_r B_r$, where B_i is the i -th row of B , $r + 1 \leq n$, and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. So

$$B_{r+1} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k b_{k,1}, \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k b_{k,2}, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k b_{k,n} \right).$$

Now if for some k between 1 and r we have that $|b_{k,k}| \leq \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{r+1} |b_{k,i}|$ then λ lies in D^k and we are done. Next, we consider the remaining case. Suppose that $|b_{k,k}| > \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{r+1} |b_{k,i}|$, for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, then $|\alpha_k b_{k,k}| \geq \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{r+1} |\alpha_k b_{k,i}|$, thus $|\alpha_k b_{k,r+1}| \leq -\sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^r |\alpha_k b_{k,i}| + |\alpha_k b_{k,k}|$. This inequality being strict for $\alpha_k \neq 0$, for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{r+1,r+1}| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k b_{k,r+1} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^r |\alpha_k b_{k,r+1}| \\ &< \sum_{k=1}^r (|\alpha_k b_{k,k}| - \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^r |\alpha_k b_{k,i}|) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r |\alpha_k b_{k,k}| - \sum_{k=1}^r \left(\sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^r |\alpha_k b_{k,i}| \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r |\alpha_i b_{i,i}| - \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^r |\alpha_k b_{k,i}| \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(|\alpha_i b_{i,i}| - \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^r |\alpha_k b_{k,i}| \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r (|\alpha_i b_{i,i}| - |\sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^r \alpha_k b_{k,i}|) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \left| \alpha_i b_{i,i} + \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^r \alpha_k b_{k,i} \right| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left| \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k b_{k,i} \right| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r |b_{r+1,i}| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1, i \neq r+1}^n |b_{r+1,i}|, \end{aligned}$$

since $|a + b| \geq |a| - |b|$. Hence, $\lambda \in D^{r+1}$ and the assertion follows. \square

For the proof of the second part of Theorem 1.1 we need two lemmas, the first of them is stated next without proof cf. [1].

Lemma 1.2. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ be its different eigenvalues. Then, for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $B \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ with $\|A - B\|^* < \delta$, then the matrices A and B have the same number of eigenvalues (counting multiplicities) in every ball $B_\varepsilon(\lambda_i)$.*

For simplicity of notation in the second part of Theorem 1.1 we will suppose that M is the union of the first m disks. We write $I = [0, 1]$. For

$x \in I$, let $A_x = (a'_{ij})$ be the matrix defined by $a'_{ii} = a_{ii}$ and $a'_{ji} = xa_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$; $D^i(x) = B(a_{ii}, x \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n |a_{ij}|)$; $M(x) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m D^i(x)$, $N(x) = \bigcup_{i=m+1}^n D^i(x)$. We define

$$\|A\|^* = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|,$$

it is well known that $\|\cdot\|^*$ is a matrix norm.

Let $f(x) = A_x$, $x \in I$. It is easy to prove that f is a continuous function with the matrix norm $\|\cdot\|^*$.

So, under the hypothesis of the second part we have that $M(x) \cap N(x) = \emptyset$ for $x \in I$, since $M \cap N = \emptyset$, $M(x) \subseteq M(1) = M$ and $N(x) \subseteq N(1) = N$.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $t \in I$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the eigenvalues of A_t . Suppose A_t has exactly its first r eigenvalues in $M(t)$. Then, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that A_x has exactly r eigenvalues in $M(x)$, for $x \in (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap I$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small and such that Lemma 1.2 holds with $\delta' > 0$. Now since f is continuous, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\|A_t - A_x\|^* < \delta'$, for $x \in (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap I$. Hence, if $x \in (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap I$ then A_x and A_t have the same number of eigenvalues in $B_\varepsilon(\alpha_i)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We can choose ε even smaller in such a way that $(\bigcup_{i=1}^r B_\varepsilon(\alpha_i)) \cap N = \emptyset$ and $(\bigcup_{i=r+1}^n B_\varepsilon(\alpha_i)) \cap M = \emptyset$.

Now, for $x \in (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap [0, 1]$ we have that A_x and A_t have r eigenvalues in $\bigcup_{i=1}^r B_\varepsilon(\alpha_i)$, and the $n - r$ eigenvalues in $\bigcup_{i=r+1}^n B_\varepsilon(\alpha_i)$.

Let $s(x)$ be the number of eigenvalues of A_x that lie in $M(x)$. Our goal is to prove $s(x) = r$ for $x \in (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap I$. If $s(x) < r$, then A_x would have $n - s(x)$ eigenvalues in $N(x)$. Certainly $M(x) \cap N(x) = \emptyset$ and, because of the first part of the Theorem, every eigenvalue lies in $M(x) \cup N(x)$. Besides A_x has r eigenvalues in $\bigcup_{i=1}^r B_\varepsilon(\alpha_i)$ and $N(x) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^r B_\varepsilon(\alpha_i) = \emptyset$, thus A_x has $(n - s(x)) + r$ eigenvalues, which is an absurd given that $n - s(x) + r > n$. Similarly, if $s(x) > r$, A_x would have $(n - r) + s(x)$ eigenvalues, since A_x has $n - r$ eigenvalues in $\bigcup_{i=r+1}^n B_\varepsilon(\alpha_i)$ and $M(x) \cap \bigcup_{i=r+1}^n B_\varepsilon(\alpha_i) = \emptyset$. Again, we get a contradiction.

We conclude that $s(x) = r$ for every x in $(t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap [0, 1]$. \square

Note that in the case $r = 0$ lemma 1.3 is still true, since A_t would have exactly its first n eigenvalues in $N(t)$ and clearly lemma 1.3 holds if we replace $M(t)$ by $N(t)$.

Proof of the second part of theorem 1.1. For $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ we define H_j as the set of $x \in I$ such that A_x has exactly j eigenvalues in $M(x)$ (counting multiplicities). Clearly, $I = \bigcup_{j=0}^n H_j$ and $H_j \cap H_i = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Lemma 1.3 ensures that H_j is an open set of I for each j between 1 and n .

Since $0 \in H_m$ and I is a connected set, $H_i = \emptyset$ for $i \neq m$, thus, we conclude that $H_m = I$, which implies that $1 \in H_m$, that is, A has exactly m eigenvalues in M . \square

In conclusion, theorem 1 not only state that the eigenvalues of the matrix A lies in $\bigcup_{j=1}^n D_j$, but also, assures that they are "regularly distributed" among the connected components of this set.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank to his friend Jose Manuel Jiménez and Professor Carlos Vélez for his useful suggestions to the original manuscript. Besides, a lot of a thanks to Joe Herrera and Luis Miguel Villada for his help with \LaTeX .

References

- [1] J. M. Ortega, Numerical Analysis. A Second Course, Academic Press, New York, 1971, pp. 47-48.
- [2] J. Wilkinson, The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem, Oxford University Press (Clarendon), London and New York, 1965, pp. 71-72.
- [3] A. S. Householder, The Theory of Matrices in Numerical Analysis, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1964, pp. 66-67.
- [4] D. K. Faddeev and V.N. Faddeeva, Computational Methods of Linear Algebra, W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco and London, 1963, pp. 320.
- [5] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, Matrix Computations, Third Edition, The Johns University Press, Baltimore and London, 1996.
- [6] R. A. Horn and C.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, 1985, pp. 344-346.
- [7] G. W. Stewart, Introduction to Matrix Computations, Academic Press Inc., 1973, pp. 302-303.
- [8] F. Chatelin, Eigenvalues of Matrices, John Wiley & Sons, 1993, pp. 78.

Dirección del autor: Danny Gómez Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Sede Medellín. dagomez2@unal.edu.co